

PROGRESS

After years of planning, three new buildings are opening on campus this year: The Donald W. Reynolds Alumni and Visitors Center, above; the Engineering Laboratory and Classroom Building, right; and the Veterinary Medicine Teaching Hospital, below right. The alumni center, made possible through a \$9 million donation from Donald W. Reynolds, BJ '27, includes meeting rooms, banquet facilities and offices. The \$17 million engineering building features classrooms, laboratories, administrative offices and a 10,000-square-foot library, shown here. Both buildings are opening this semester. The \$19 million veterinary hospital is due to open in the fall.





At any one time, more than 700 campus improvement continues. projects are in process. The funding for these projects comes from many public and private sources, often in complex combinations. The master plan helps ensure the value of the expenditures and the success and long life of the projects.

Much improvement has come to the campus in the past few years, with much more under way. Great changes have come to the campus and to the city of Columbia in the past 30 years, and more change is likely in the coming decades. As the city grows and changes on all sides of the campus, Mizzou must plan carefully for the best use of every square foot of its land because a significant increase in land holdings is not expected.

Independent studies by outside consultants have identified space needs for many parts of the campus. Program planning for chairman, 109 Pickard Hall. colleges, schools and services helps develop the best match 2. Watch for the schedule of new slide-show open hearings between programs, people and facilities. As with campus land, for the University and the community in the spring. The hearings campus buildings are under continuing study for optimum will include a progress report on planning and status reports on efficiency and attractiveness.

The plan seeks to identify the campus' enduring features of design, which are expected to remain unchanged as Mizzou calling 882-2661. continues with the constant adjustments to facilities that are characteristic of a large university. The recommendations result from an effort to secure maximum campuswide improvements as simply and quickly as possible, in a manner consistent with University assumptions for limited funding, a limited construc-

master plan is an overall guide. It tion program, a stable population and a permanent campus of does not describe specific projects great importance. Most complex issues are located in the center or design details, but rather establishes the larger of campus, which also offers the greatest opportunities for context for them. Since the Campus Master Plan focuses improvement. Therefore, it has been the focus of attention so far. on campuswide issues, specific projects are dealt with separately. Other areas will be studied more fully as the planning process



- 1. Your comments on this draft Campus Master Plan are requested. Please mark up this supplement and send to the campus planning advisory committee, c/o Professor Osmund Overby,
- speci-fic projects.
- 3. The master plan video may be borrowed for meetings by
- 4. This publication is expected to be updated each year; your comments on how to make it more effective are welcome.

CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE

The campus planning committee advises the vice chancellor for Administrative Services on the facility needs of the campus. Members for 1991-92 are:

Chairman:

Osmund Overby, professor of art history and archaeology

Faculty:

James Irvin, associate professor of health services management

Gregory Renner, associate professor of surgery

Paula Roper, librarian II at Ellis Library Ray Rothenberger, professor

of horticulture Jack Timmons, associate

professor of community

development

Shirley Delbert, coordinator of Visitor Relations

Sue Gray, manager of Central Food Stores

Tracey Steele, senior secretary in the Psychology Department

Diane Brazeale, John Gribble and Robert Woodward Ex officio:

Roger Fisher, director of

Residential Life Chris Koukola, assistant vice chancellor for University

Carma Messerli, coordinator of the Access Office

Pat Morton, associate director of financial and engineering services at University Hospital and

Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

CAPITAL REVIEW GROUP

The capital review group reviews campus planning and project issues for recommendation to the chancellor. Members are:

Chairman:

Kee W. Groshong, vice chancellor for Administrative Services

Members:


Gerald Brouder, provost and interim chancellor

Roger Gafke, vice chancellor for Development. University and Alumni Relations

Suzanne Holland, interim vice chancellor for Student

Gary Smith, director of Admissions and Registrar Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

See questionnaire published elsewhere in this issue.



izzou's Cam


This supplement summarizes the plan's accomplishments, shown on the map inside, and the next steps in the process. Readers' comments are welcome — feel free to write in the margins and return the supplement to the campus planning committee, c/o Professor Osmund Overby, chairman, 109 Pickard

A videotape on the master plan may be borrowed by calling 882-2661.

"Comments and reactions have helped to shape the plan," says Jack Robinson, consultant to the campus administration who works with the campus planning committee, which includes faculty, staff and students. The committee advises the vice chancellor for Administrative Services on campus planning is-

In forming the plan, more than 50 public hearings were held for the campus and community in the past eight years. They included and specific projects. In addition, progress reports are presented annually in open meetings. "New ideas always are welcome," Robinson says.

He describes the master plan as building on Mizzou's traditional campus to create a unified, efficient environment that is inviting to



HE PLAN BUILDS ON TRADITION TO CREATE AN INVITING CAMPUS.

Leads the Way

students and conducive to teaching, research and support services. It also seeks to strengthen ties of cooperation between the campus and neighboring Columbia.

"Building projects and adequate parking are under constant study and modification,' Robinson says. "For a successful total campus, however, they should be developed within a strong, handsome sequence of major open spaces and of major cross-campus pedestrian ways. Mizzou's system of quadrangles, courtyards, malls and playing fields can be linked, improved and extended to help unify the total campus."

The general objectives for the plan (see box) are intended to help test the plan concepts and proposals, and specific projects as they are developed. The objectives, Robinson notes, are consistent with the Board of Curators' 1981 policy statement, which includes as a goal "to maintain and make more efficient and attractive the University's physical plant."

The objectives have helped to shape projects recently completed and those now in process. "The interesting story is not in any one project, but in the way in which they reinforce each other," Robinson says. With the plan's overall guidance, he says, campus improvements should blend in with their surroundings so well that they will appear to have been there from the start.

"All the suggestions are intended to be consistent with University assumptions for limited funding, a limited construction program, a stable population and a permanent campus of great importance," he says.

Master plan drawings appear on the next two pages.

PLANNING PRINCIPLES

- RECRUITMENT-RETENTION tance from the past
- freshmen and visiting scholars alike
- the totality of the campus revealed and ans within the campus

component parts

- PRIDE OF THE STATE: visual and DIVERSITY WITHIN THE appropriate for its purposes; not confunctionally expressive of the importance UNITY: clarifying and expressing the strained, not lavish, but adequate of this campus to the state of Missouri variety of activities, of people, of inheri-
- help attract and hold faculty, staff and sual and functional pedestrian dominance with disabilities over vehicles within the campus
- STRONG "SENSE OF PLACE": VEHICLES RECOGNIZED: the tivities located close to each other whendistinctive and memorable to entering needs of an automobile-oriented society ever required or potentially beneficial accommodated gracefully without being **EXPANSION OR RELOCATION** avoiding adverse impacts and cooperat-■ UNIFIED TOTAL CAMPUS: permitted to dominate pedestri-

each activity of the campus with facilities

- NEEDS: continuing the campus tradi- in similar climates AID: environmental qualities which PEDESTRIAN DOMINANCE: vi- tion of providing optimal access to people RESPECT FOR INHERITANCE:
 - PROXIMITIES ENHANCED: ac-

clarified to all observers, dominating **I** FUNCTIONAL ADEQUACY: expansion (or relocation) of an activity, should such a change be desirable

- RESPONSIVE TO CLIMATE: careful design of building and of land-
- accenting and continuing the topographic and architectural history of the best parts of the campus
- RESPECT FOR NEIGHBORS: PROVIDED: clear identification ing wherever possible to achieve civic of at least one logical way for objectives.

Printed Jan. 22, 1992

Jan. 22, 1992

