UP AND RUNNING

Construction of the new veterinary medicine hospital and renovation of the original engineering building were among the major improvements at MU during 1992. The original engineering building, which faces Francis Quadrangle, saw the installation of new floors, walls, central air and heating, classrooms, labs and faculty offices. A centerpiece of the project was the construction of a 106-seat auditorium, shown at top right. The veterinary hospital, Clydesdale Hall, shown at far right, is opening in stages this winter. It features a small animal clinic, a food animal clinic and an equine clinic, all with the latest equipment and technology. The photo at right shows a surgical room for cattle, including a table operated by a hydraulic lift. The veterinary project cost \$20 million and the engineering renovation was part of a \$17 million improvement project at that college. In each case, the state paid 80 percent of the total cost, with private funds making up the rest.

Photos by Rob Hill







or design details, but rather establishes the larger context for them. Since the Campus Master Plan focuses on campuswide issues, specific projects are dealt with separately.

At any one time, more than 700 campus improvement projects are in process. The funding for these projects comes from many public and private sources, often in complex combinations. The master plan helps ensure the value of the expenditures and the success and long life of the projects.

Much improvement has come to the campus in the past few years, with much more under way. Great changes have come to the campus and to the city of Columbia in the past 30 years, and more change is likely in the coming decades. As the city grows and changes on all sides of the campus, Mizzou must plan carefully for the best use of every square foot of its land because a significant increase in land holdings is not expected.

Independent studies by outside consultants have identified space needs for many parts of the campus. Program planning for colleges, schools and services helps develop the best match between programs, people and facilities. As with campus land, campus buildings are under continuing study for optimum efficiency and attractiveness.

The plan seeks to identify the campus' enduring features of design, which are expected to remain unchanged as Mizzou continues with the constant adjustments to facilities that are characteristic of a large university. The recommendations result from an effort to secure maximum campuswide improvements as simply and quickly as possible, in a manner consistent with University assumptions for limited funding, a limited construc-

master plan is an overall guide. It tion program, a stable population and a permanent campus of does not describe specific projects great importance. Most complex issues are located in the center of campus, which also offers the greatest opportunities for improvement. Therefore, it has been the focus of attention so far. Other areas will be studied more fully as the planning process continues



1. Your comments on this draft Campus Master Plan are requested. Please mark up this supplement and send to the campus planning advisory committee, c/o Shirley Delbert, chairwoman, 123H Reynolds Center.

2. Open hearings on this plan will be held at noon Feb. 16 and 17 in the Hulston Hall courtroom. The hearings will include a progress report on planning and status reports on specific projects.

3. The master plan slide show presentation may be scheduled for any campus group by calling 882-2661.

4. This publication is expected to be updated each year; your comments on how to make it more effective are welcome.

See questionnaire published elsewhere in this issue.

CAMPUS

PLANNING

COMMITTEE

Members for 1992-93 are:

Chairwoman: Shirley Delbert, coordinator of Visitor Relations Faculty: Ruth Brent, professor and chairwoman of environmental design Michael Kramer, assistant professor of communication Gregory Renner, associate professor of surgery Paula Roper, librarian II at Ellis Library Jack Timmons, associate professor of community development Ernie Wiggers, assistant professor of natural resources Staff: Sue Gray, manager of business and fiscal operations for Campus **Dining Services** Steve McMillin, architect at University Hospital and Clinics Students: Russ Barker, David Bova and Jennifer Pratt Ex officio: Roger Fisher, director of **Residential Life** Chris Koukola, assistant to the chancellor for University Affairs Carma Messerli, coordinator of the Access Office Pat Morton, associate director of financial and engineering services at University Hospital and

Clinics Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

CAPITAL REVIEW GROUP

The capital review group reviews campus planning and project issues for recommendation to the chancellor. Members are:

Chairman:

- Kee W. Groshong, vice chancellor for
- Administrative Services Members:
- Gerald Brouder, provost Roger Gafke, vice chancellor
- for Development and Alumni Relations
- Suzanne Holland, interim vice chancellor for Student Affairs
- Gary Smith, director of
- Admissions and Registrar
- Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

"Comments and reactions have helped to shape the plan," says Jack Robinson, consultant to the campus administration who works with the campus planning committee, which includes faculty, staff and students. The committee advises the vice chancellor for Administrative Services on campus planning issues.

In forming the plan, more than 50 public hearings were held for the campus and community in the past nine years. They included slide shows and status reports on space needs and specific projects. In addition, progress reports are presented annually in open meetings. "New ideas always are welcome," Robinson says.

He describes the master plan as building on Mizzou's traditional campus to create a unified, efficient environment that is inviting to



izzou's Cam-Master pus Plan is an ongo ing process that began in 1980 to study the use of campus land and

buildings for optimum efficiency and aesthetic appeal.

This supplement summarizes the plan's accomplishments, shown on the map inside, and the next steps in the process. Readers' comments are welcome - feel free to write in the margins and return the supplement to the campus planning committee, c/o Shirley Delbert, chairwoman, 123H Reynolds Cen-

A videotape on the master plan may be borrowed by calling 882-2661.



nmis

Academic Hall was the focus of the campus in 1875.



students and conducive to teaching, research and support services. It also seeks to strengthen ties of cooperation between the campus and neighboring Columbia.

"Building projects and adequate parking are under constant study and modification,' Robinson says. "For a successful total campus, however, they should be developed within a strong, handsome sequence of major open spaces and of major cross-campus pedestrian ways. Mizzou's system of quadrangles, courtyards, malls and playing fields can be linked, improved and extended to help unify the total campus."

The general objectives for the plan (see box) are intended to help test the plan concepts and proposals, and specific projects as they are developed. The objectives, Robinson notes, are consistent with the Board of Curators' 1981 policy statement, which includes as a goal "to maintain and make more efficient and attractive the University's physical plant."

The objectives have helped to shape projects recently completed and those now in process. "The interesting story is not in any one project, but in the way in which they reinforce each other," Robinson says. With the plan's overall guidance, he says, campus improvements should blend in with their surroundings so well that they will appear to have been there from the start.

"All the suggestions are intended to be consistent with University assumptions for limited funding, a limited construction program, a stable population and a permanent campus of great importance," he says.

Master plan drawings appear on the next two pages.

■ **RECRUITMENT-RETENTION** tance from the past

freshmen and visiting scholars alike the totality of the campus revealed and ans within the campus

clarified to all observers, dominating **FUNCTIONAL ADEQUACY**: expansion (or relocation) of an activity, component parts

■ PRIDE OF THE STATE: visual and ■ DIVERSITY WITHIN THE functionally expressive of the importance UNITY: clarifying and expressing the strained, not lavish, but adequate of this campus to the state of Missouri variety of activities, of people, of inheri-

help attract and hold faculty, staff and sual and functional pedestrian dominance with disabilities over vehicles within the campus

STRONG "SENSE OF PLACE": **VEHICLES** RECOGNIZED: the tivities located close to each other when-best parts of the campus distinctive and memorable to entering needs of an automobile-oriented society ever required or potentially beneficial ■ UNIFIED TOTAL CAMPUS: permitted to dominate pedestri-

each activity of the campus with facilities appropriate for its purposes; not con-

NEEDS: continuing the campus tradi-AID: environmental qualities which PEDESTRIAN DOMINANCE: vi- tion of providing optimal access to people RESPECT FOR INHERITANCE:

of at least one logical way for objectives.

should such a change be desirable

■ RESPONSIVE TO CLIMATE: careful design of building and of land-■ **RESPONSE TO ACCESSIBILITY** scape so as to serve as models for others in similar climates

accenting and continuing the topo-**PROXIMITIES ENHANCED:** ac- graphic and architectural history of the

■ RESPECT FOR NEIGHBORS: accommodated gracefully without being **EXPANSION OR RELOCATION** avoiding adverse impacts and cooperat-**PROVIDED**: clear identification ing wherever possible to achieve civic



Leads the Way











