



Highlights of the 1993 building season at Mizzou included the renovation of the new Psychology Building, 200 S. Seventh St., and construction of the new Curation and Conservation Center on Rock Quarry Road. The Psychology Building formerly housed Columbia's MFA Oil Co., and the renovation has added new research facilities such as multipurpose social labs, computer-assisted labs and rooms with special audiovisual arrangements. Also in place is a new conference room, shown at left. The Curation and Conservation Center, above, is the new home for MU's anthropology and archaeology artifacts, which include millions of items. Included is the 175,000-specimen Dunn-Palmer Herbarium; the Grayson Collection of 5,000 archery artifacts from around the world; and "Ghost Dancing," the van that carried author and MU alumnus William "Least Heat Moon" Trogdon on the journey that resulted in his best-selling book, Blue Highways.

Photos by Rob Hill and Nancy O'Connor

master plan is an overall guide. It does not describe specific projects or design details, but rather establishes the larger context for them. Since the Campus Master Plan focuses on campuswide issues, specific projects are dealt with separately.

At any one time, more than 700 campus improvement projects are in process. The funding for these projects comes from many public and private sources, often in complex combinations. The master plan helps ensure the value of the expenditures and the success and long life of the projects.

Much improvement has come to the campus in the past few years, with much more under way. Great changes have come to the campus and to the city of Columbia in the past 30 years, and more change is likely in the coming decades. As the city grows and changes on all sides of the campus, Mizzou must plan carefully for the best use of every square foot of its land because a significant increase in land holdings is not expected.

Independent studies by outside

consultants have identified space needs for many parts of the campus. Program planning for colleges, schools and services helps develop the best match between programs, people and facilities. As with campus land, campus buildings are under continuing study for optimum efficiency and attractiveness.

The plan seeks to identify the campus'

enduring features of design, which are expected to remain unchanged as Mizzou continues with the constant adjustments to facilities that are characteristic of a large university. The recommendations result from an effort to secure maximum campuswide improvements as simply and quickly as possible, in a manner consistent with University assumptions for limited

funding, a limited construction program, a stable population and a permanent campus of great importance. Most complex issues are located in the center of campus, which also offers the greatest opportunities for improvement. Therefore, it has been the focus of attention so far. Other areas will be studied more fully as the planning process continues.

# **OME SEE THE SHOW**

If you'd like to learn more about the evolution and aspirations for our campus, the campus planning committee wants to invite you to a slide presentation of the Campus Master Plan. Presentations are scheduled at 1 p.m. Feb. 15 and 16 in the Hulston Hall Courtroom.

"The spirit of Mizzou is captured in the character, use and delight of our architecture," says Ruth Brent, chairwoman of the campus planning committee and professor and chairwoman of the Environmental Design Department. "With this visual presentation of the MU plan, you will be sensitized to the history and logic of our buildings in the overall landscape. I think those in attendance will experience a new appreciation of connectedness and

meaning of our campus. It's pride of place."

Consultant Jack Robinson will provide commentary as he presents more than 75 slides of old and current photographs, maps and drawings that document the evolution of the campus over the years. "Did you know, for instance, that the Memorial Union was 40 years in the making?" he says. "The tower stood by itself for more than 30 years. There are some intriguing stories here."

In addition, the slide show presentation may be scheduled for any campus group by calling 882-2661

Brent adds that as the Campus Master Plan is reviewed and updated each year, comments and suggestions are encouraged from students, staff, faculty and visitors.

### **CAMPUS PLANNING COMMITTEE**

The campus planning committee advises the vice chancellor for Administrative Services on the facility needs of the University. Members for 1993-94 are:

#### Chairwoman:

Ruth Brent, professor and chairwoman of environmental design Faculty:

Richard Cox, professor and chairman of health and physical education Speer Morgan, professor of English Paula Roper, librarian II at Ellis Library Jack Timmons, associate professor of community development extension

Ernie Wiggers, associate professor of natural resources Staff:

# Ginny Booker, assistant to the director

- at Career Planning and Placement Steve McMillin, architect at University
- Hospital and Clinics Sue Porter, administrative associate I in
- Animal Science Students:
- Kary Laughlin
- Ex officio:
- Roger Fisher, director of Residential Life Chris Koukola, assistant to the
- chancellor for University Affairs Carma Messerli, coordinator of the Access Office

Pat Morton, chief planning and budget officer and director of Institutional Research, Budget and Planning Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

## **CAPITAL REVIEW** GROUP

The capital review group reviews campus planning and project issues for recommendation to the chancellor. Members are:

#### Chairman:

Kee W. Groshong, vice chancellor for Administrative Services

#### Members:

Gerald Brouder, provost Brady Deaton, chief of staff Roger Gafke, vice chancellor for Development and Alumni Relations Pat Morton, chief planning and budget officer and director of Institutional Research, Budget and Planning

- Charles Schroeder, vice chancellor for Student Affairs
- Gary Smith, registrar and director of Admissions
- Alan Warden, assistant vice chancellor for Facilities

borrowed by calling 882-2661. faculty, staff and students. The

Administrative Services on campus planning issues. In forming the plan, more than 50 public hearings were held for the campus and community in the past 10 years. They included slide shows and status reports on space needs and specific projects. In addition, progress reports are presented annually in open meetings. "New ideas always are welcome," Robinson says.

He describes the master plan as building on Mizzou's traditional campus to create a unified, efficient environment that is inviting to students and conducive to teaching, research and support

# PLANNING PRINCIPLES

PRIDE OF THE STATE: visual and functionally expressive of the importance of this campus to the state of Missouri ■ RECRUITMENT-RETENTION AID: environmental qualities which help attract and hold faculty, staff and

- students



izzou's Campus Master Plan is an ongoing process that began in 1980 to study the use of campus land and buildings for optimum

efficiency and aesthetic appeal. This supplement summarizes the plan's accomplishments, shown on the map inside, and the next steps in the process. Readers' comments are welcome — feel free to write in the margins and return the supplement to the campus planning committee, c/o Ruth Brent, chairwoman, 142C Stanley Hall.

A videotape on the master plan may be

"Comments and reactions have helped to shape the plan," says Jack Robinson, consultant to the campus administration who works with the campus planning committee, which includes

committee advises the vice chancellor for



Academic Hall was the focus of the campus in 1875.



services. It also seeks to strengthen ties of cooperation between the campus and neighboring Columbia.

"Building projects and adequate parking are under constant study and modification,' Robinson says. "For a successful total campus, however, they should be developed within a strong, handsome sequence of major open spaces and of major cross-campus pedestrian ways. Mizzou's system of quadrangles, courtyards, malls and playing fields can be linked, improved and extended to help unify the total campus."

The general objectives for the plan (see box) are intended to help test the plan concepts and proposals, and specific projects as they are developed. The objectives, Robinson notes, are consistent with the Board of Curators' 1981 policy statement, which includes as a goal "to maintain and make more efficient and attractive the University's physical plant.'

The objectives have helped to shape projects recently completed and those now in process. The interesting story is not in any one project, but in the way in which they reinforce each other," Robinson says. With the plan's overall guidance, he says, campus improvements should blend in with their surroundings so well that they will appear to have been there from the

"All the suggestions are intended to be consistent with University assumptions for limited funding, a limited construction program, a stable population and a permanent campus of great importance," he says.

Master plan drawings appear on the next two

expansion (or relocation) of an activity,

careful design of building and of landscape

should such a change be desirable

RESPONSIVE TO CLIMATE:

so as to serve as models for others in

RESPECT FOR INHERITANCE:

RESPECT FOR NEIGHBORS:

wherever possible to achieve civic

accenting and continuing the topographic

avoiding adverse impacts and cooperating

and architectural history of the best parts

similar climates

of the campus

objectives.

■ STRONG "SENSE OF PLACE": distinctive and memorable to entering freshmen and visiting scholars alike ■ UNIFIED TOTAL CAMPUS: the totality of the campus revealed and clarified to all observers, dominating component parts

■ DIVERSITY WITHIN THE UNITY: clarifying and expressing the variety of activities, of people, of inheritance from

the past PEDESTRIAN DOMINANCE: visual and functional pedestrian dominance over vehicles within the campus

■ VEHICLES RECOGNIZED: the needs of an automobile-oriented society accommodated gracefully without being permitted to dominate pedestrians within the campus

■ FUNCTIONAL ADEQUACY: each activity of the campus with facilities appropriate for its purposes; not constrained, not lavish, but adequate ■ RESPONSE TO ACCESSIBILITY NEEDS: continuing the campus tradition

of providing optimal access to people with disabilities ■ PROXIMITIES ENHANCED:

activities located close to each other whenever required or potentially beneficial **EXPANSION OR RELOCATION** 

PROVIDED: clear identification of at least one logical way for



Printed Feb. 2, 1994

